

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/091,493	03/07/2002	Yuusuke Takamoto	381NT/44743TCO	2343		
7:	590 05/15/2003					
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P.			EXAMINER			
P.O. Box 14300 Washington, DC 20044-4300			VANAMAN, FRA	VANAMAN, FRANK BENNETT		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3618			

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No. 10/091,493	Applicant(s)	Takamoto	et al.			
•	Advisory Action	Examiner		Art Unit 3618				
		Vanaman						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED May 1, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice 37 CFR	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. X The prop	osed amendment(s) will not be entered be-	cause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) X they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
	resent additional claims without canceling							
	The material proposed for addition to claim not been previously addressed during prose		a new cons	ideration at thi	s time as it has			
		.		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	t's reply has overcome the following reject cosed reply would overcome the rejections		directed to	claims 2 and	13.			
4. Newly pr	roposed or amended claim(s) te, timely filed amendment canceling the n	on-allowable claim(s).		uld be allowab	le if submitted in			
application	defidavit, b) □ exhibit, or c) ☒ request on in condition for allowance because: ched sheet)	for reconsideration ha						
by the Ex	lavit or exhibit will NOT be considered beca kaminer in the final rejection.							
7. X For purpo explanati	oses of Appeal, the proposed amendment(sion of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) $ abla$ will not be entended be rejected is probable.	ered or b) vided below) will be entere v or appended.	d and an			
The state	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
	allowed:							
	objected to:							
	rejected: 2, 3, and 5-13 withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The prop	osed drawing correction filed on	is a) □ ap	proved or	b) 🗆 disapprov	ed by the Examiner.			
	attached Information Disclosure Statemen			ERAN	KVANAMAN			
10. Other:				PHIMA	Y EXAMINER			

Application/Control Number: 10/091,493

Art Unit: 3618

Applicant's comments, filed with the amendment after Final Rejection, have been noted, but are not persuasive.

As regards the provision of a minimum torque to maintain a stopping position, it is not clear that Takamoto et al. would provide a torque greater than that required to maintain a desired position.

In response to applicant's argument that Hotta reduces current to avoid damaging the inverter, the fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually (e.g., Hotta, in this case), one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).